

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,708	12/20/2001	Michael R. Boyd	213045	9974
45733	7590 12/14/2006	EXAMINER		
	OIT & MAYER, LTD	. WANG, SHENGJUN		
	ENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE STETSON AVENUE	4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6731		1617	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	, •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/914,708	BOYD, MICHAEL R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shengjun Wang	1617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and INCOME. FROM THE MAILING DATES AND A CONTROL OF THE MAILING AND	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2006.					
2a)[his action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m						
-,ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· _	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-17,32 and 33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-17, 32,33</u> are subject to restric	ction and/or election requiremen	t.			
Applicati	on Papers					
	·	r				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	- -			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
	•					
A44	Wa)					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	, (PTO_413)			
	e of References Clied (P10-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Application/Control Number: 09/914,708

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 19, 2006 has been entered.

2. Applicants' amendments submitted July 18, 2006 have been entered. The amendments substantially change the scope of claimed invention and necessitate the following restriction requirements within the invention elected September 17, 2004.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-13*, drawn to a method of treatment of urinary acidification, classified in class 514, subclass 450.
 - II. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 and 14, drawn to a method of treatment of bone resorption, classified in class 514, subclass 450.
 - III. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 and 15, drawn to a method of treatment of osteoporosis, classified in class 514, subclass 450.
 - IV. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 and 16, drawn to a method of inhibit fertility, classified in class 514, subclass 450.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,708 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

V. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 and 17, drawn to a method of treatment of angiogenesis, classified in class 514, subclass 450.¹

- VI. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 and 32, drawn to a method of treatment of glaucoma, classified in class 514, subclass 450.
- VII. Claims 1, 3, 4,6-12 and 33, drawn to a method of treatment of Alzheimer's disease, classified in class 514, subclass 450.
- 2. Inventions groups I-VII are unrelated each from the others. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation. Particularly, the several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other, as they are directed to treating diseases with distinct etiologies and symptoms, and have acquired a separate status in the art of treating as a separate subject matter for inventive effect and require independent searches. It is noted that a reference to one treatment would not necessarily be a reference to another treatment under 35 U.S.C. 103. Further, the claims read a variety of disorders, which would require many field of searches that would be an undue burden on the Examiner. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

¹ It is not clear whether claim 12 would be properly dependent to claim 1 and read on the invention as set forth herein. Applicants are advised to clarify the issue, and identify the claims read on elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,708

Art Unit: 1617

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,708

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shengjun Wang Miner Shengjun Rwang Examiner Art Unit 1617

Page 5